

COURT CALENDER.

List of Cases for the May Juay Term Circuit of the Court.

MORE ABOUT LAMBERT.

The passing of brother Lambert over the unprotected bow of the steamer Alameda and under the official nose of Detective Holland of Oakland has called down the wrath of the powers that be at the Oceanic dock, and the cyclone of indignation has concentrated upon the head of waiter Jones and a metaphorically scalped Jones, a sailor's kit and a bird cage came ashore this morning from the vessel, and a few minutes later the man of the pantry was being paid off.

Not alone must Jones suffer for the sins he had committed against Athens, but the noblest Roman of them all, the steersman, is suspected of having known something about Lambert's intention of running the blockade. "Off with his head," say some people.

The officials of the Oceanic Steamship Co'y have had some little trouble in locating just the persons in their employ who were guilty of aiding a criminal to escape from the strong arm of the law. The waiters blame the stewards, and the stewards say they know nothing about Lambert's presence on the vessel. There may be a general overhauling for testimony before long.

One matter is yet unexplained and it is this: The Honolulu papers that came in care of the Merchants' Exchange, and which contained the account of Lambert's intention to leave for this city on the Alameda, were made away with by some one, for the Merchants' Exchange reports that in some unaccountable manner they did not get a single copy of the Commercial Advertiser.

The ex-clerk of Oakland spent money liberally on the steamer to secure the aid of those who were in a position to help him. It is even hinted that it was he who paid to have the papers taken out of their packages and pitched overboard. — S. F. Bulletin.

HAWAIIAN JURY, CRIMINAL.

Republic of Hawaii vs. J. E. Fish and J. Nawahi. Conspiracy, Castle for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. George Malina, perjury 2nd degree.

Republic of Hawaii vs. C. Mitchell, burglary, Kaulukou for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Patrick Cullen. Murder. C. Brown—Kinney to assist prosecution. Magoon for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Kahakaula et al. Adultery. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Achi for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Kualii et al. Adultery. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kane for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Hailama. Assault with deadly weapon. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Achi for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Kelika-lua and two others. Larceny 3d degree. Appeal from Koolupoko District Court.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Kanika. Assault with dangerous weapon. C. Brown—Kane for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. John Hapa. Robbery. Carter & Kinney for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. William Fish. Failure to carry lights. Appeal from Waianae District Court. Kaulukou for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Kumukoa. Malicious injury. Appeal from Waianae District Court.

Republic of Hawaii vs. H. Keaweamahi. Housebreaking. Kane for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. J. K. Nakookoo. Malicious burning 2d degree. Kane-Poepoe for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. F. J. Testa. Assault on public officer. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kaulukou for defendant-appellant.

HAWAIIAN JURY—CIVIL.

Clio H. Newton et al. vs. S. Kaale et al. Ejectment. Kinney for plaintiffs. Achi for defendants.

Annie L. Ulukou vs. Kapiolani. Assumpsit. Castle-Ashford for plaintiff. Hatch for defendant. Kaaukai Kelley vs. Caroline Aniu. Trespass. C. W. Ashford Kaulia for plaintiff. Achi for defendant.

Lipize Fern vs. W. C. Achi. Damage. Neumann for plaintiff. Defendant in person.

Kuileka Waianuhea vs. Kaho-okamoku et al. Ejectment. Kahookano for plaintiff. Kane-Achi for defendants.

Kamukoa vs. J. R. Holt Jr. Replevin. Appeal from Waianae Dis. Court. Kaulia for plaintiff-appellant. Carter & Kinney for defendant.

MIXED JURY.

J. I. Dowsett vs. Maukasia et al. Ejectment. C. Brown for plaintiff. C. W. Ashford for defendants.

Jessie T. Naone vs. L. A. Andrews, case. V. V. Ashford for plaintiff; defendant in person.

J. R. Holt, Jr. vs. G. Lycurgas et al., assumpsit. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff. Neumann for defendant.

Pipi vs. L. L. McCandless. Road controversy in Ewa, Oahu. Appeal from commissioner. Davidson for plaintiff. Carter & Kinney for defendant-appellant.

Wm. Messman vs. Kalimahana, Replevin. Poepoe for plaintiff-appellant; Castle for defendant.

Mahia alias Keaweamahi vs. Rev. Gulstan et al. Ejectment. Achi for plaintiff. Hatch for defendants.

R. W. Holt vs. Chang Fat. Ejectment. Rosa for plaintiff. Magoon for defendant.

Mela Uli et al. vs. Thomas Emmaley. Ejectment. Kaulia-Johnson for plaintiff. V. V. Ashford for defendants.

Wailou Kekaula vs. V. V. Ashford. Assumpsit. C. Brown for plaintiff; defendant in person.

Joaquin Cuello vs. G. H. Williams et al. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

R. R. Hind vs. John Spencer et al. Assumpsit. W. R. Castle for plaintiffs.

Joe Morrie et al. vs. Lee Heu. Ejectment. Achi-Johnson for plaintiff.

W. R. Opfergelt et al. vs. Mary Naone Stevens et al. Damage. Hatch for plaintiffs.

Kapukela vs. I. D. Iaea et al. Ejectment. Carter & Kinney for plaintiffs. Achi for defendants.

C. S. Desky vs. L. K. Kamakaa et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Edings for plaintiffs. Magoon for defendants-appellant.

Yap Kong vs. Kaauana. Damage. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kaulia for plaintiffs-appellant. Kane for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Patrick Cullen. Murder. C. Brown—Kinney to assist prosecution. Magoon for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Kahakaula et al. Adultery. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Achi for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Jose Pereira. Larceny 3d degree. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Neumann for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Jose Pereira. Larceny 3d degree. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Neumann for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Ino (w). Deserting husband. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kaulukou for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Ah Mun. Possession of opium. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Achi for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Bento Ignacio. Assault and battery. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kaneaku a for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Frank Gouveia. Larceny 3d degree. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kaneaku a for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Lee Quai Tong alias Ah Tang. Possession of opium. Appeal from Ewa District Court. Foster for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. H. Morton and F. Taves. Cruelty to animals. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Neumann for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. E. Norrie. Seditious libel. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Neumann for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Higgins. Possession of opium. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kaulukou for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

Republic of Hawaii vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

Republic of Hawaii vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Ah Pack. Possession of opium. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Foster for defendants. Conspiracy. Ashford-Creighton for defendant. Republic of Hawaii vs. G. Sum-mors. Burglary. Kaulukou for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Harada Matzukami and Ito. Malicious injury.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Chong Kin and two others. Violating Section 1, Act 21. Prov. Gov't Laws. Appeal from Honolulu District Court.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Captain Hill. Barratry.

Republic of Hawaii vs. A. Her-manson. Manslaughter. Stanley for defendants.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Loo Ting. Mayhem. Achi to assist prosecution. Kaulukou for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Ling Tai. Assault with intent to ravish. Kaulukou for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Joe Dias. Liquor selling without license. Appeal from Waialua District Court.

Republic of Hawaii vs. Hoshina. Assault and battery. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Castle for defendant.

Republic of Hawaii vs. J. W. Carroll. Assault with weapon. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Magoon for defendant.

Thos. Nutt vs. C. T. Galick, guardian. Assumpsit. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff. Kinney for defendant.

H. R. Macfarlane vs. Ira A. Lowell. Assumpsit. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff. Hatch for defendant.

Chas. Scharf vs. Hawaiian Govern-ment. Damage. Castle—Ash-ford for plaintiff. Attorney-Gen-eral for defendant. Kinney for Counsel for defendant.

Pablo Artime vs. Hawaiian Govern-ment. Assumpsit. C. W. Ashford for plaintiff. Attorney-Gen-eral for defendant.

F. Harrison vs. Republic of Hawaii. Damage. Neuman for plaintiff. Attorney-General for defendant.

F. Harrison et al. vs. Republic of Hawaii. Damage. Neuman for plaintiff. Attorney-General for defendant.

F. Harrison et al. vs. J. H. Bruns. Assumpsit. Neuman for plaintiff. Hatch for defendant.

M. Davis vs. California Wine Co. Damage. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Foster for plaintiff.

Y. Ah In vs. Chun See Cheong. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Castle for plaintiff. C. W. Ashford for defendant-appellant.

Chin Wo Company vs. Chun See Cheong. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Castle for plaintiff. C. W. Ash-ford for defendant appellant.

J. Tinker vs. E. Norrie et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Rosa for defendant appellant.

Peter High vs. Charles Wall. Assumpsit. Bartwell for plaintiff. Hatch for defendant.

C. Meineck vs. R. Oliver et al. Assumpsit. Carter for plaintiff.

Antona G. Serrao vs. J. G. Serrao. Damage. Castle for plaintiff. V. V. Ashford for defendant.

L. B. Kerr vs. Akana. Assumpsit. Magoon for plaintiff.

J. O. Carter, Admr., vs. The Mutual Life Insurance Co. Assumpsit. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

James J. Byrne vs. John Martin et al. Assumpsit. Hartwell for plaintiff. Hatch for defendant.

Ah See vs. Quong Fong Wai Co. Water controversy in Koolauloa. Appeal from Commissioner of Water Rights. Hartwell for defendant appellant.

Edma G. Tronsseau vs. B. Cartwright et al. Assumpsit. Hartwell for plaintiff. Hatch for defendants.

C. I. Sanborn et al. vs. A. Feek et al. Assumpsit. Neuman for plaintiffs. Hatch for defendants.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

H. A. Widemann vs. E. B. Thomas. Replevin. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff.

D. Dayton, Admr., vs. A. Dreier. Trover. Carter & Kinney for plaintiff. Neumann for defendant.

F. W. McChesney, Assignee, vs. Antonio Lopez. Assumpsit. Hartwell for plaintiff.

JURY WAIVED.

William Kauahi vs. Ah Chew. Trespass. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Kaulukou for plaintiff. Foster for defendant appellant.

J. A. Magoon vs. Yee King Tong. Ejectment. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendant appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

W. R. Castle vs. Loo Chit Sam et al. Assumpsit. Appeal from Honolulu District Court. Plaintiff in person. Davidson for defendants-appellant.

SPORTS.

THE HAWAIIAN JOCKEY CLUB.

The 11th of June Races An Assured Success.

The meeting of the Hawaiian Jockey Club which took place on Monday night, was a grand success in more than one way. It instilled new blood into the association, and the enthusiasm displayed by the new members, proved that the "hard" times are taking a backseat, and that Honolulu is going back into its former golden days. The annual races which will take place on the glorious 11th of June, will this year surpass anything experienced here. There will be more horses, more owners and more first-class jockeys than have ever met on the track in the park. As the programme is not published yet and arrangements for the entries have not been completed, it would be premature to state with certainty how many horses will appear on the association's grand day, and who they are. It is safe though to whisper that Halstead of Waialua will meet with two runners, and his neighbor Gay of Mokuleia with three. Halstead has secured Dodd's stable and the Mokuleia horses will shortly be brought to town. A well-known trainer from California is now having them in hand at Mokuleia. Bob Ballentyne will probably enter three horses. Amario and Silky are among them, and will be hailed with pleasure by the Honolulu public. Duke Spencer who has not appeared in public at the last meetings is now being trained, and will undoubtedly make it hot for his competitors. Colonel Cornwell will have three or possibly four horses in readiness. It is rumored that he expects a race-horse and a jockey in the Australia. If the rumor is correct the race for the Rosita Challenge Cup will be contested hotter than ever. Besides these there are a number of trotters to be entered and all now in training, and it is safe to say that the races will be attended by an audience larger than ever. Sixteen new members were admitted at the annual meeting, and the following officers were elected:

H. A. Widemann, president; J. A. Cummins, vice-president; J. G. Spencer, treasurer; S. G. Wilder, secretary.

Executive Committee: H. Focke, Sam Louissou, J. F. Clay.

BASE BALL.

The following is the series of the seasons' league games as agreed upon.

Star vs Unknown..... May 4

Kamehameha vs Star..... 11

Unknown vs Kamehameha..... 18

Star vs Unknown..... 25

Kamehameha vs Star..... June 1

Unknown vs Kamehameha..... 8

Star vs Unknown..... 15

Kamehameha vs Star..... 22

Unknown vs Kamehameha..... 29

Star vs Unknown..... July 4

Kamehameha vs Star..... 6

Unknown vs Kamehameha..... 13

Star vs Unknown..... 20

Kamehameha vs Star..... 27

Unknown vs Kamehameha..... Aug 3

Star vs Unknown..... 10

Kamehameha vs Star..... 17

Unknown vs Kamehameha..... 24

The Star and Unknown will open the season on Saturday.

Information was received by the Coptic that Adolph Behrens and Kit King will arrive here in the Alameda on May the 9th and play with the Star in the game which will take place on the 11th inst, between the Star and the Kams.

The season promises to be exceptionally interesting.

BOATING.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange for a constitution and by-laws for the proposed association.

On Friday next Messrs Clarence Macfarlane, A. G. M. Robertson and David Kawanakoa, representing the Heolani, Myrtle and Leilani boat clubs will meet and make the preliminary arrangements for the organization of a rowing association. The gentlemen mentioned will report back to their respective clubs and it is then expected that delegates will be elected from each club to arrange